

Métodos Formais
2025.2

Introduction to Alloy: Constraints

Área de Teoria DCC/UFMG

Model weaknesses

- The model is underconstrained
 - It doesn't match our domain knowledge
 - Asymmetric marriage, self child/sibling, asymmetric siblings, multiple fathers...
 - We can add constraints to enrich the model
- Under-constrained models are common early on in the development process
 - AA gives us quick feedback on weaknesses in our model
 - We can incrementally add constraints until we are satisfied with it

Adding constraints

- We'd like to enforce the following constraints (concerning *biology*)
 - No person can be their own parent (or more generally, their own ancestor)
 - No person can have more than one father or mother
 - A person's siblings are those with the same parents
- We could also enforce the following *social* constraints
 - The spouse relation is symmetric
 - A man's wife cannot be one of his siblings

Alloy Constraints

- Signatures and fields define classes (of atoms) and relations between them
- Alloy models can be refined further by adding *formulas* expressing additional constraints over those classes and relations
- Several operators are available to express both logical and relational constraints

Logical operators

The usual logical operators are available, often in two forms

– not	!	(Boolean) negation
– and	&&	conjunction
– or		disjunction
– implies	\Rightarrow	implication
– else		alternative
–	\Leftrightarrow	equivalence

Quantifiers

Alloy includes a rich collection of quantifiers

all $x: S \mid F$

F holds for every x **in** S

some $x: S \mid F$

F holds for **some** x **in** S

no $x: S \mid F$

F holds for **no** x **in** S

lone $x: S \mid F$

F holds for at most **one** x **in** S

one $x: S \mid F$

F holds for exactly **one** x **in** S

Predefined sets in Alloy

- There are three predefined set constants:

- **none** : empty set
- **univ** : universal set
- **ident** : identity relation

- Example. For a model instance with just:

$\text{Man} = \{(M0), (M1), (M2)\}$

$\text{Woman} = \{(W0), (W1)\}$

the constants have the values

none = $\{\}$

univ = $\{(M0), (M1), (M2), (W0), (W1)\}$

ident = $\{(M0, M0), (M1, M1), (M2, M2), (W0, W0), (W1, W1)\}$

Everything is a Set in Alloy

- There are *no scalars*
 - We never speak directly about elements (or tuples) of relations
 - Instead, we can use *singleton* relations:

one sig Matt **extends** Person

- Quantified variables *always* denote singleton relations:

all $x : S \mid \dots x \dots$

$x = \{t\}$ for some element t of S

Set operators

+	union
&	intersection
—	difference
in	subset
=	equality
!=	disequality

- Example. Married men:

Married & Man

Relational operators

\rightarrow	arrow (cross product)
\sim	transpose
\cdot	dot join
$[]$	box join
\wedge	transitive closure
$*$	reflexive-transitive closure
$<:$	domain restriction
$:>$	image restriction
$++$	override

Relational composition (Join)

$p \cdot q$

- p and q are two relations that are *not both unary*
- $p.q$ is the relation you get by taking every combination of a tuple from p and a tuple from q and adding their join, if it exists

How to join tuples?

- What is the join of these two tuples ?

(a_1, \dots, a_m)

(b_1, \dots, b_n)

- If $a_m \neq b_1$, then join is undefined

- If $a_m = b_1$, then it is

$(a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, b_2, \dots, b_n)$

- Examples.

$$\begin{array}{lcl} (a, b) \cdot (a, c, d) & & \text{undefined} \\ (a, b) \cdot (b, c, d) & = & (a, c, d) \end{array}$$

- What about $(a).(a)$?

How to join tuples?

- What is the join of these two tuples ?

(a_1, \dots, a_m)

(b_1, \dots, b_n)

- If $a_m \neq b_1$, then join is undefined

- If $a_m = b_1$, then it is

$(a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, b_2, \dots, b_n)$

- Examples.

$$\begin{array}{lcl} (a, b) \cdot (a, c, d) & & \text{undefined} \\ (a, b) \cdot (b, c, d) & = & (a, c, d) \end{array}$$

- What about $(a).(a)$? Not defined!

- $t_1.t_2$ is not defined if t_1 and t_2 are *both* unary tuples

Example: family structure

```
abstract sig Person {  
    children: set Person,  
    siblings: set Person  
}  
sig Man, Woman, Other extends Person {}  
one sig Matt in Man {}  
sig Married in Person {  
    spouse: one Married  
}
```

How would you use join to find Matt's children or grandchildren ?

Example: family structure

```
abstract sig Person {  
    children: set Person,  
    siblings: set Person  
}  
sig Man, Woman, Other extends Person {}  
one sig Matt in Man {}  
sig Married in Person {  
    spouse: one Married  
}
```

How would you use join to find Matt's children or grandchildren ?

Matt.children — Matt's children
Matt.children.children — Matt's grandchildren

What if we want to find Matt's descendants?

Example: family structure

How would you model the *constraint*:

Every married person has one spouse

Example: family structure

How would you model the *constraint*:

Every married person has one spouse

all p: Married | **one** p.spouse

A spouse can't be a sibling

Example: family structure

How would you model the *constraint*:

Every married person has one spouse

all p: Married | **one** p.spouse

A spouse can't be a sibling

no p: Married |
p.spouse **in** p.siblings

Box Join

$p[q]$

- Semantically identical to dot join, but takes its arguments in different order

$$p[q] \iff q.p$$

- Example: Matt's children or grandchildren?

Box Join

$p[q]$

- Semantically identical to dot join, but takes its arguments in different order

$$p[q] \iff q.p$$

- Example: Matt's children or grandchildren?

<code>children [Matt]</code>	—	Matt's children
<code>children [children [Matt]]</code>	—	Matt's grandchildren

Transpose

$\sim p$

- Take the mirror image of the relation p
 - The reverse the order of atoms in each tuple

- Example:

$$p = \{(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3), (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3)\}$$
$$\sim p = \{(a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0), (b_3, b_2, b_1, b_0)\}$$

- Example: Matt's parents or grand parents?

Transpose

$\sim p$

- Take the mirror image of the relation p
 - The reverse the order of atoms in each tuple

- Example:

$$p = \{(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3), (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3)\}$$
$$\sim p = \{(a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0), (b_3, b_2, b_1, b_0)\}$$

- Example: Matt's parents or grand parents?

$$\begin{array}{ll} \sim \text{children}[\text{Matt}] & \text{--- Matt's parents} \\ \sim \text{children}[\sim \text{children}[\text{Matt}]] & \text{--- Matt's grandparents} \end{array}$$

Transitive Closure

\hat{r}

- Intuitively, the transitive closure of a relation $r: S \times S$ is what you get when you keep navigating through r until you can't go any farther

$$\hat{r} = r + r.r + r.r.r + \dots$$

Example: family structure

What if we want to find Matt's ancestors or descendants ?

Example: family structure

What if we want to find Matt's ancestors or descendants ?

```
Matt.^children      // Matt's descendants  
Matt.^(~children)  // Matt's ancestors
```

How to express the constraint “No person can be their own ancestor?”

Example: family structure

What if we want to find Matt's ancestors or descendants ?

```
Matt.^children      // Matt's descendants  
Matt.^(~children)  // Matt's ancestors
```

How to express the constraint “No person can be their own ancestor?”

```
no p: Person | p in p.^(~children)
```

Reflexive-transitive Closure

$$*r = \hat{r} + \text{iden}$$

- Intuitively, the transitive closure of a relation $r: S \times S$ is what you get when you keep navigating through r until you can't go any farther

$$*r = \text{iden} + r + r.r + r.r.r + \dots$$

Arrow Product

$$p \rightarrow q$$

- p and q are two relations
- $p \rightarrow q$ is the relation you get by taking every combination of a tuple from p and a tuple from q and concatenating them (same as flat cross product)
- Example

Name = $\{(N0), (N1)\}$

Addr = $\{(D0), (D1)\}$

Book = $\{(B0)\}$

Name \rightarrow Addr = $\{(N0, D0), (N0, D1), (N1, D0), (N1, D1)\}$

Book \rightarrow Name \rightarrow Addr =
 $\{(B0, N0, D0), (B0, N0, D1), (B0, N1, D0), (B0, N1, D1)\}$

Domain and Image restrictions

- The restriction operators are used to filter relations to a given domain or image
- If s is a set and r is a relation then
 - $s <: r$ contains tuples of r *starting* with an element in s
 - $r >: s$ contains tuples of r *ending* with an element in s
- Examples

```
Man = {(M0), (M1), (M2), (M3)}  
Woman = {(W0), (W1)}  
children = {(M0, M1), (M0, M2), (M3, W0), (W1, M1)}  
// father-child  
Man <: children = {(M0, M1), (M0, M2), (M3, W0)}  
// parent-son  
children >: Man = {(M0, M1), (M0, M2), (W1, M1)}
```

Override

$p \mathrel{++} q$

- p and q are two relations of arity two or more
- the result is like the union between p and q except that tuples of q can replace tuples of p ; any tuple in p that matches a tuple in q starting with the same element is dropped

$$p \mathrel{++} q = p - (\text{domain}(q) \prec p) + q$$

- Example

$\text{oldAddr} = \{(N0, D0), (N1, D1), (N1, D2)\}$

$\text{newAddr} = \{(N1, D4), (N3, D3)\}$

$\text{oldAddr} \mathrel{++} \text{newAddr} = \{(N0, D0), (N1, D4), (N3, D3)\}$

Operator precedence

From lower to higher:

||
<=>
=>
&&
!
= != in
+ -
++
&
->
<:
:>
[]
.
~ * ^

Set Comprehension

$$\{ x : S \mid F \}$$

- the set of values drawn from set S for which F holds
- How would use the comprehension notation to specify the set of people that have the same parents as Matt?

Set Comprehension

$$\{ x : S \mid F \}$$

- the set of values drawn from set S for which F holds
- How would use the comprehension notation to specify the set of people that have the same parents as Matt?

$$\{ q : \text{Person} \mid q.\sim\text{children} = \text{matt}.\sim\text{children} \}$$

Example: family structure

How to express the constraint “A person P’s siblings are those people, other than P, with the same parents as P”

Example: family structure

How to express the constraint “A person P’s siblings are those people, other than P, with the same parents as P”

```
all p: Person |  
    p.siblings =  
        {q: Person | p.~children = q.~children} - p
```

Functions and Predicates

- Parametrized macros for terms and formulas
 - Can be named and reused in different contexts (facts, assertions and conditions of run)
 - Can have zero or more parameters
 - Used to factor out common patterns
- Functions are good for *set expressions* you want to reuse in different contexts
- Predicates are good for *formulas* you want to reuse in different contexts

Functions

- A named *set expression*, with zero or more parameters

- The parents relation:

```
fun sisters [p: Person] : Woman {  
    {w: Woman | w in p.siblings} }
```

```
fun parents [] : Person  $\rightarrow$  Person {~children}
```

- Example in a formula:

```
all p: Person |  
    p.siblings =  
        {q: Person | p.parents = q.parents} - p
```

Predicates

- A named *formula*, with zero or more parameters

- The blood-related relation:

```
pred BloodRelated [p: Person, q: Person] {  
    some (p.*parents & q.*parents)  
}
```

- Example in a formula:

```
no p: Married | BloodRelated[p, p.spouse]
```

Let

let $x = e \mid A$

- You can factor expressions out
- Each occurrence of the variable x will be replaced by the expression e in A
- Example: “Each married peson has one spouse”

all $p: \text{Married} \mid$
 let $q = p.\text{spouse} \mid$ **one** q

Facts

- Additional constraints on signatures and fields are expressed in Alloy as *facts*

```
fact Name {  
    F1  
    F2  
    ...  
}
```

- AA looks for instances of a model that also satisfy all of its *facts*

Example Facts

- No person can be their own ancestor

Example Facts

- No person can be their own ancestor

```
fact selfAncestor {  
  no p: Person | p in p.^parents  
}
```

Example Facts

- No person can be their own ancestor

```
fact selfAncestor {  
  no p: Person | p in p.^parents  
}
```

- a persons's siblings are other persons with the same parents

Example Facts

- No person can be their own ancestor

```
fact selfAncestor {  
  no p: Person | p in p.^parents  
}
```

- a persons's siblings are other persons with the same parents

```
fact siblingsDefinition {  
  all p: Person |  
    p.siblings =  
      {q: Person | p.parents = q.parents} - p  
}
```

Example Facts

```
fact social {  
  — Every married person has one spouse  
  all p: Married | one p.spouse  
  
  — A spouse can't be a sibling  
  no p: Married | p.spouse in p.siblings  
  
  — A person can't be married to a blood relative  
  no p: Married |  
    some (p.*parents & (p.spouse).*parents)  
}
```

Assertions

- Often we believe that our model *entails* certain *constraints* that are not directly expressed
 - some A && (A in B) entails some B
- We can define these constraints as assertions and ask the analyzer to check if they hold (similarly specifying checking scopes)

```
assert myAssertion { some B }  
check myAssertion for 5
```

- If the constraint in an assertion does not hold, the analyzer will produce a *counterexample instance*
- If you expect the constraint to hold but it does not, you can either
 - make it into a fact, or
 - refine your model until the assertion holds

Example Assertions

- No person has a parent that is also a sibling

Example Assertions

- No person has a parent that is also a sibling

```
assert a1 { all p: Person |  
             no p.parents & p.siblings }
```


Example Assertions

- No person has a parent that is also a sibling

```
assert a1 { all p: Person |  
             no p.parents & p.siblings }
```

- A person's siblings are their siblings' siblings

Example Assertions

- No person has a parent that is also a sibling

```
assert a1 { all p: Person |  
             no p.parents & p.siblings }
```

- A person's siblings are their siblings' siblings

```
assert a2 { all p: Person |  
             p.siblings = p.siblings.siblings }
```

Example Assertions

- No person has a parent that is also a sibling

```
assert a1 { all p: Person |  
             no p.parents & p.siblings }
```

- A person's siblings are their siblings' siblings

```
assert a2 { all p: Person |  
             p.siblings = p.siblings.siblings }
```

- No person shares a common ancestor with their spouse (i.e., spouse isn't related by blood)

Example Assertions

- No person has a parent that is also a sibling

```
assert a1 { all p: Person |  
             no p.parents & p.siblings }
```

- A person's siblings are their siblings' siblings

```
assert a2 { all p: Person |  
             p.siblings = p.siblings.siblings }
```

- No person shares a common ancestor with their spouse (i.e., spouse isn't related by blood)

```
assert a3 { no p: Married |  
             some (p.^parents & p.spouse.^parents) }
```

Acknowledgments

These notes are heavily based on notes from Matt Dwyer, John Hatcliff, Rod Howell, Laurence Pilard and Cesare Tinelli.