#### Métodos Formais 2023.2

# Introduction to Alloy

Área de Teoria DCC/UFMG

#### Outline

- Introduction to basic Alloy constructs using a simple example of a static model
  - How to define sets, subsets, relations with multiplicity constraints
  - How to use Alloy's quantifiers and predicate forms

- Basic use of the Alloy Analyzer 6
  - Loading, running, and analyzing a simple Alloy specification
  - Adjusting basic tool parameters
  - Using the visualization tool to view instances of models

Introduction to Alloy

# Why was Alloy created?

- Lightweight
  - Small and easy to use
  - capable of expressing common properties tersely and naturally
- Precise
  - having a simple and uniform mathematical semantics

- Tractable
  - amenable to efficient and fully automatic semantic analysis
    - within scope limits

# What is Alloy used for?

• A textual modeling language aimed at expressing *structural* and *behavioral* properties of software systems

• Not meant for modeling code architecture

• But an Alloy specification can be closely related to an OO implementation

## Example applications

• The Alloy distribution comes with several sample models to illustrate the use of Alloy's constructs

#### Examples

- Specification of a distributed spanning tree
- Model of a generic file system
- Model of a generic file synchronizer
- Tower of Hanoi model

• ...

#### In summary

- Alloy is general enough that it can model
  - any domain of individuals
  - relations between them

- We will start with a few simple examples
  - Not necessarily about software

#### We want to:

- Model parent/child relationships as primitive relations
- Model spousal relationships as primitive relations
- Model relationships such as siblings as derived relations
- Enforce *biological constraints* via first-order predicates (e.g., people are not their own parents)
- Enforce *social constraints* via first-order predicates (e.g., a spouse isn't a sibling)
- Confirm or refute the existence of certain *derived relationships* (e.g., no one has a spouse with whom they share a parent)

#### Atoms and Relations

• In Alloy, everything is built from atoms and relations

- An atom is a primitive entity that is
  - indivisible: it cannot be broken down into smaller parts
  - immutable: its properties do not change over time
  - uninterpreted: it does not have any built in property (the way numbers do for example)

• A *relation* is a structure that *relates atoms*. It is a set of *tuples*, each tuple being a sequence of atoms

### Atoms and Relations: Examples

An address book for an email client with a mapping from names to addresses

FriendBook
Ted -> ted@gmail.com
Ryan -> ryan@hotmail.com

WorkBook
Pilard -> lpilard@ufmg.br
Ryan -> ryan@ufmb.br

• Unary relations: a set of names, a set of addresses and a set of books

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Name} &= \{ (\text{N0}), \, (\text{N1}), \, (\text{N2}) \} \\ \text{Addr} &= \{ (\text{D0}), \, (\text{D1}) \} \\ \text{Book} &= \{ (\text{B0}), \, (\text{B1}) \} \end{aligned}$$

• A binary relation from names to addresses

$$address = \{(N0,D0),(N1,D1)\}$$

• A ternary relation from books to names to addresses

```
address = \{(B0,N0,D0),(B0,N1,D1),(B1,N1,D2)\}
```

#### Relations

- Size of a relation: the number of tuples in the relation
- Arity of a relation: the number of atoms in each tuple of the relation
  - relations with arity 1, 2, and 3 are said to be unary, binary, and ternary relations

- Examples.
  - relation of arity 1 and size 1:

```
myName = {(N0)}
```

• relation of arity 2 and size 3:

```
address = \{(N0,D0),(N1,D1),(N2,D1)\}
```

# Main components of Alloy models

Signatures and Fields

Predicates and Functions

Facts

Assertions

Commands and scopes

# Signatures and Fields

- Signatures
  - Describe classes of entities we want to reason about
  - Sets defined in signatures are fixed (dynamic aspects can be modeled by time-dependent relations)
- Fields
  - Define relations between signatures
- Simple constraints
  - Multiplicities on signatures
  - Multiplicities on relations

# Signatures

• A signature introduces a set of atoms

The declaration

$$sig A \{\}$$

introduces a set named A

• A set can be introduced as an extension of another; thus

$$\textbf{sig} \ \mathsf{A1} \ \mathbf{extends} \ \mathsf{A} \ \{\}$$

introduces a set A1 that is a subset of A

# Signatures

```
sig A {}
sig B {}
sig A1 extends A {}
sig A2 extends A {}
```

- A1 and A2 are extensions of A
- A signature declared independently of any other one is a top-level signature, e.g., A and B

 Extensions of the same signature are mutually disjoint, as are top-level signatures

#### Signatures

```
abstract sig A {}
sig B {}
sig A1 extends A {}
sig A2 extends A {}
```

• A signature can be introduced as a subset of another

```
sig A3 in A {}
sig A2 extends A {}
```

- An abstract signature has no elements except those belonging to its extensions or subsets
- All extensions of an abstract signature A form a partition of A

#### **Fields**

- Relations are declared as fields of signatures
- Writing

introduces a relation f of type  $A \times e$ , where e is an expression denoting a product of signatures

- Examples: (with signatures A, B, C)
  - Binary relation:

$$sig A \{f1: B\}$$

where f1 is a subset of  $A \times B$ 

Ternary relation:

$$sig A \{f2: B \rightarrow C\}$$

where f2 is a subset of  $A \times B \times C$ 

# Example signatures and fields

A family structure:

```
abstract sig Person {
  children: Person,
  siblings: Person
}
sig Man, Woman, Other extends Person {}
sig Married in Person {
  spouse: Married
}
```

A family structure:

```
abstract sig Person {}
sig Man extends Person {}
sig Woman extends Person {}
sig Other extends Person {}
sig Married in Person {}
```

#### A family structure:

```
abstract sig Person {
   siblings: Person
}
sig Man extends Person {}
sig Woman extends Person {}
sig Other extends Person {}
sig Married in Person {}
```

A family structure:

```
abstract sig Person {
       siblings: Person
    sig Man extends Person {}
    sig Woman extends Person {}
    sig Other extends Person {}
    sig Married in Person {}
An example of an instance is
Person = \{(P0), (P1)\}
Man = \{(P0), (P1)\}
Married = \{\}
Woman = \{\}
Other = \{\}
```

```
• siblings is a binary relation, i.e., a subset of Person x Person
```

In the instance, P0 and P1 are siblings

siblings =  $\{(P0,P1), (P1,P0)\}$ 

#### run Command

• Used to ask AA to generate an instance of the model

- May include conditions
  - Used to guide AA to pick model instances with certain characteristics
  - E.g., force certain sets and relations to be non-empty
  - In this case, not part of the "true" specification
    - Specific for that run

- We can use conditions to encode realism constraints to e.g.,
  - Force generated models to include at least one married person, or one married man, etc.

#### run Command

- To analyze a model, you add a run command and instruct AA to execute it.
  - the run command tells the tool to search for an instance of the model
  - you may also give a scope to signatures bounds the size of instances that will be considered

- The scope:
  - Limits the size of instances considered to make instance finding feasible
  - Represents the maximum number of elements in a top-level signature
  - Default value is 3 for each top-level signature

• AA executes only the first run command in a file

### run Example

— Other scenarios

run {some Woman && no Man} for 7

run {some Man && some Married && no Woman}

```
— The simplest run command
— The scope of every signature is 3
run {}
— The scope scope of every signature is 5
run {} for 5
— With conditions forcing each set to be populated
- Setting the scope to 2
run {some Man && some Woman && some Married} for 2
```

### Multiplicities

- Allow us to constrain the sizes of sets
  - A multiplicity keyword placed before a signature declaration constrains the number of elements in the signature

$$m sig A \{ \}$$

• We can alo make multiplicities constraints on fields:

There are four multiplicities

set: any numbersome: one or morelone: zero or oneone: exactly one

- Without multiplicity:
  - A set of colors, each of which is red, yellow or green abstract

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{sig} & \texttt{Color} & \{\} \\ \textbf{sig} & \texttt{Red} , & \texttt{Yellow} , & \texttt{Green} & \textbf{extends} & \texttt{Color} & \{\} \end{array}
```

- Without multiplicity:
  - A set of colors, each of which is red, yellow or green abstract

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{sig} & \textbf{Color} & \{\} \\ \textbf{sig} & \textbf{Red} \,, & \textbf{Yellow} \,, & \textbf{Green} & \textbf{extends} & \textbf{Color} & \{\} \end{array}
```

- With multiplicity:
  - An enumeration of colors

```
abstract sig Color \{\} one sig Red, Yellow, Green extends Color \{\}
```

 A file system in which each directory contains any number of objects, and each alias points to exactly one object

```
abstract sig Object {}
sig Directory extends Object {contents: set Object}
sig File extends Object {}
sig Alias in File {to: one Object}
```

The default multiplicity for fields is one, so:

```
sig A {f: e} sig A {f: one e}
```

are equivalent

- A book maps names to addresses
  - There is at most one address per Name
  - An address is associated to at least one name

```
sig Name, Addr {}
sig Book {
  addr: Name some -> lone Addr
}
```

 A collection of weather forecasts, each of which has a field weather associating every city with exactly one weather condition

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{sig} & \texttt{Forecast} & \{\texttt{weather: City} \to \textbf{one Weather}\} \\ \textbf{sig} & \texttt{City} & \{\} \\ \textbf{abstract sig} & \texttt{Weather} & \{\} \\ \textbf{one sig} & \texttt{Rainy, Sunny, Cloudy extends} & \texttt{Weather} & \{\} \\ \end{array}
```

Instance:

```
sig S {f: lone T}
```

• says that, for each element s of S, f maps s to at most a single value in T

```
sig S \{f: lone T\}
```

- says that, for each element s of S, f maps s to at most a single value in T
  - Note this means that f is a partial function

```
sig S \{f: lone T\}
```

- says that, for each element s of S, f maps s to at most a single value in T
  - Note this means that f is a partial function

What if we had

 $\textbf{sig} \ S \ \{f\colon \ \textbf{one} \ T\}$ 

```
sig S \{f: lone T\}
```

- says that, for each element s of S, f maps s to at most a single value in T
  - Note this means that f is a partial function

What if we had

$$sig S \{f: one T\}$$

• Defines a total function

 $\textbf{sig} \ S \ \{f\colon \ T \ -\!\!\!> \ \textbf{one} \ V\}$ 

```
sig S \{f: T \rightarrow one V\}
```

• for each element s of S, over the triples that start with s: f maps each T-element to exactly one V-element

$$\textbf{sig} \ S \ \{f: \ T \ -\!\!\!> \ \textbf{one} \ V\}$$

• for each element s of S, over the triples that start with s: f maps each T-element to exactly one V-element

$$\textbf{sig} \ S \ \{f\colon \ T \ \textbf{lone} \ -\!\!\!> V\}$$

$$\textbf{sig} \ S \ \{f\colon \ T \ -\!\!\!> \ \textbf{one} \ V\}$$

• for each element s of S, over the triples that start with s: f maps each T-element to exactly one V-element

$$sig S \{f: T lone \rightarrow V\}$$

• For each element s of S, over the triples that start with s: f maps at most one T-element to the same V-element

# Multiplicities and Relations

• Other kinds of relational structures can be specified using multiplicities

Examples

```
- sig S {f: some T} ... total relation
- sig S {f: set T} ... partial relation
- sig S {f: T set -> set V}
- sig S {f: T one -> V}
- ...
```

## Cardinality constraints

• Multiplicities can also be applied to whole expressions denoting relations

- some e e is non-empty
- no e e is empty
- lone e e has at most one tuple
- one e e has exactly one tuple

• How would you use multiplicities to define the children relation?

• How would you use multiplicities to define the <u>children</u> relation?

```
sig Person {children: set Person}
```

• Intuition: each person has zero or more children

• How would you use multiplicities to define the children relation?

```
sig Person {children: set Person}
```

• Intuition: each person has zero or more children

How would you use multiplicities to define the <u>spouse</u> relation?

• How would you use multiplicities to define the children relation?

```
sig Person {children: set Person}
```

• Intuition: each person has zero or more children

• How would you use multiplicities to define the spouse relation?

```
sig Married {spouse: one Married}
```

• Intuition: each married person has exactly one spouse

#### Size Determination

 Size determined in a signature declaration has priority on size determined in scope

• Example:

```
abstract sig Color {}
one sig red, yellow, green extends color {}
sig Pixel {color: one Color}
run {} for 2
```

• The above limits the signature Pixel to 2 elements, but assigns a size of exactly 3 to Color

#### Model weaknesses

- The model is underconstrained
  - It doesn't match our domain knowledge
    - Asymmetric marriage, self child/sibling, asymmetric siblings, multiple fathers...
  - We can add constraints to enrich the model

- Under-constrained models are common early on in the development process
  - AA gives us quick feedback on weaknesses in our model
  - We can incrementally add constraints until we are satisfied with it

### Adding constraints

- We'd like to enforce the following constraints (concerning biology)
  - No person can be their own parent (or more generally, their own ancestor)
  - No person can have more than one father or mother
  - A person's siblings are those with the same parents

- We could also enforce the following social constraints
  - The spouse relation is symmetric
  - A man's wife cannot be one of his siblings

# Acknowledgments

These notes are heavily based on notes from Matt Dwyer, John Hatcliff, Rod Howell, Laurence Pilard and Cesare Tinelli.